The study and uses of the *Yijing* (Book of Changes or *Dich kinh*) in Vietnam is a largely unknown research area on which very few Vietnamese writings have survived into the modern period and modern scholarship is basically non-existent.¹ This paper is a preliminary study of Vietnamese scholarship on the *Yijing* from historical, textual and comparative perspectives. As the background, it first gives a historical overview of *Yijing* scholarship in Vietnam from the text's importation in early centuries to the Nguyen dynasty (1802-1945), introducing representative scholars and their works, and identifying the characteristics of *Yijing* scholarship in Vietnam. The main part of the paper is a textual analysis of one of the extant Vietnamese commentaries on the *Yijing*, *Chu dich cuu nguyen* (An Investigation of the Origins of the *Yijing*, 1916). It aims to deepen our understanding of developments in *Yijing* scholarship and Confucian studies in times of turmoil and change during the late Nguyen period (1886-1945).

---
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Vietnamese scholarship. The Song school, in particular the Zhu Xi school
[Zhu Xi (1130-1200), which had a strong preference for the Four Books over
the Five Classics, was dominant in Vietnamese Confucianism.² The exact date
for the importation of the Yijing to Vietnam is unknown. During the long
period of more than a thousand years between 111 B.C.E and C.E. 939 when
Vietnam was under Chinese domination, not only did Chinese officials and
merchants settle or sojourn in Vietnam, but Vietnamese officials and students
also went to China to pay tribute, trade or study. It was likely that these
Chinese or Vietnamese might have brought Han (206 B.C.E-C.E 200) and Tang
(618-906) commentaries on the Yijing to Vietnam (especially the northern part).

In the Ly dynasty (1010-1225), Buddhism was much more influential than
Confucianism. Like medieval Japan, Buddhist monks, in particular Zen
monks, were dominant in scholarship and attempted to incorporate Confucian
and Taoist elements into the Mahayana Buddhist system. Some Buddhist
monks (such as the Zen monk Buu Gian) studied the Yijing to enrich Buddhism.³ The Ly government founded an Imperial College modeled after
that of China. However, it is unclear that whether the Yijing was a reading at
the College. During the Tran dynasty (1225-1400), the government introduced
the civil service examinations and expanded the school system. It reprinted
the Four Books and the Five Classics as textbooks for the civil service
examinations and public schools. At schools, students usually began to study
the Four Books and the Five Classics at the age of fourteen or fifteen in order to
prepare for the civil service examinations.

The Zhu Xi school of Neo-Confucianism became very influential in state
ideology and scholarship in the Le dynasty (1428-1789).⁴ The government
created the positions of the Doctors of the Five Classics to promote the Five
Classics, for fear that Confucian classics, such as the Yijing, Liji (Book of Rites)
and Chunqiu (Spring and Autumn Annals), would become neglected.⁵ In the
government, the Yijing was studied as a Confucian classic at the Imperial
College and as a divination manual at the Ministry of Rites. However, it was
not an important text in both organizations and very few students specialized
in it.⁶ The Yijing never occupied an important place in the civil service
The government reprinted the Five Classics and their commentaries as textbooks for public schools in the capital and the regions. Le Confucian scholars produced commentaries on Chinese classics in Chinese and translated Chinese classics into *nom* (demotic Vietnamese script). Some became famous for *Yijing* scholarship. For instance, Nguyen Binh Khiem (1491-1585), a *nom* poet and Confucian scholar, was a famous scholar of the *Yijing*. He used the *Yijing* to fuse Neo-Confucian metaphysics with Taoism and Buddhism. Le Quy Don (1726-1784), a diplomat and a prolific Zhu Xi scholar, wrote an important commentary on the *Yijing*, entitled *Dich kinh phu thuyet* (A Simple Explanation of the *Yijing*, 1752). He also wrote several books on *Yijing* divination. Under the influence of *kaozheng* scholarship (the Chinese philological tradition of evidential research), Le conducted textual criticism on the *Yijing* and other Confucian classics. As an official, he used the *Yijing* to advocate political and social reforms.

The Nguyen dynasty (1802-1945) was a period of great change. Confucian politics and studies made great progress in the early Nguyen period (1802-1885). The government enhanced the civil service examinations and promoted Confucian morality. Temples of Confucius were built throughout the nation. Zhu Xi and Cheng Yi’s (1033-1107) commentaries on the *Yijing* were adopted as official texts for the civil service examinations. The government also published the *nom* edition of the Chinese classics including the *Yijing*. Nguyen scholars produced a large number of Confucian commentaries and not a few have survived into the modern period. Pham Quy Thích (1759-1825), a renowned Confucian scholar and teacher, wrote an important commentary on the *Yijing*, entitled *Chu dich van giai toat yeu* (A Summary of Questions and Answers about the *Yijing*, 1805). Nhu Ba Si (1759-1840), a high-ranking official, developed his Confucian views in the *Dich ti giai thuyet* (An Explanation of the *Yijing* System). These two commentaries were faithful to Zhu Xi and Cheng Yi’s interpretations. Dang Thai Bang (dates unknown), in his *Chu dich quoc an ca* (*Yijing* as a *Nom* Poem, 1815), translated the main text of the 64 hexagrams in the *Yijing* into a *nom* poem for commoners to memorize. This text was printed under the auspices of a regional
administration to promote Confucianism.\textsuperscript{12}  

The late Nguyen (1886-1945) was an era of crises and reforms that stimulated many new intellectual currents. Under French domination, Vietnam adopted a cultural policy that promoted Western learning at the expense of Chinese learning. The authority of the Zhu Xi school was questioned by reform-minded Vietnamese scholars.\textsuperscript{13} Nguyen Kyuyen (1835-1909), an official and a poet, showed his concern for the decline of the Confucian order. In a poem, “Reading the \textit{Yijing},” (\textit{doc dich}), he wrote:

\begin{quote}
If the world is becoming a new world, 
Public manners should nevertheless follow ancient models. 
I wake up in the morning to read the \textit{Yijing} alone. 
Understanding the change of times is no easy task.\textsuperscript{14}
\end{quote}

The late Nguyen is regarded as a period of the decline of Confucianism and Chinese learning.\textsuperscript{15} Although late Nguyen commentaries are not rated highly in terms of scholarship, they demonstrate a level of originality and iconoclasm that can hardly be found in any other periods in Vietnam. This changing intellectual climate can also be seen from \textit{Yijing} scholarship. For instance, Phan Boi Chau (1867-1940), a reformist and the champion of the “new learning” (\textit{Tan hoc}) and “travel East” (\textit{dong du}) movements, stressed the importance of applying Chinese classics to promote modern reforms.\textsuperscript{16} His \textit{Dich hoc chu giai} (An Annotated Explanation of the \textit{Yijing}) was an attempt along this line. He translated the \textit{Yijing} into Latinized Vietnamese and added annotations. Le Van Ngu (1859-?) wrote an important commentary on the \textit{Yijing}, \textit{Chu dich cuu nguyen} (An Investigation of the Origins of the \textit{Yijing}, 1916) in which he gave insightful views of \textit{Yijing} scholarship in China and the comparison of Eastern and Western learning.

To conclude a thousand years of \textit{Yijing} studies in Vietnam, underdevelopment was perhaps the most salient characteristic. \textit{Yijing} scholarship remained rudimentary and the \textit{Yijing} was never a very popular text among Vietnamese scholars. Vietnamese writings on the \textit{Yijing} were few and
not very influential. Unlike its Chinese, Japanese or Korean counterparts, *Yijing* scholarship in Vietnam did not develop into different schools, such as textual interpretation, symbols and numbers, divination or application schools.

Vietnamese scholarship was not mature enough to develop its own interpretations. Vietnamese intellectuals followed Song interpretations of the *Yijing* faithfully and original Vietnamese commentaries on the text and images of the *Yijing* were few. Although individual scholars might have been influenced by Wang Yangming, *kaozheng*, and practical learning scholarship, basically there were no other Confucian schools founded in Vietnam to compete and interact with the Zhu Xi school.

*Yijing* scholarship in Vietnam was highly pragmatic. Vietnamese scholars were not interested in metaphysical discussions or textual criticism. To most Vietnamese, the *Yijing* was a book of high practical value. The *Yijing* was basically treated as a textbook for the civil service examinations. The Vietnamese government reprinted Zhu Xi and Cheng Yi’s commentaries on the *Yijing* numerous times to promote Confucian education and morality. Most people studied the text as a part of the school curriculum for preparation for the civil service examinations.

Traditional Vietnam also applied *Yijing*-related principles to things and affairs in their daily life. Though not a popular and influential text in the Vietnamese Confucian circle, the *Yijing* and its *yinyang wuxing* (two primal forces and five basic agents) doctrine penetrated the ideas and practices of Vietnamese politics, medicine, agriculture, calendrical studies, geography, religion and folklore. The *yinyang wuxing* doctrine shaped the Vietnamese perception of their society, politics, human relationship and the outside world. The eight-trigram symbol was used widely by the Vietnamese as a talisman. The imperial family and the courtiers applied the principle of *wuxing* in naming. Vietnamese scholars used the *Yijing* to advocate the “six-eight poetry.” Some Vietnamese officials claimed authority from the divination of the *Yijing*. Geomancy or *fengshui* based on the *yinyang wuxing* doctrine was prevalent in Vietnamese thought and folklore.
Le Van Ngu’s Scholarship on the Yijing

Le Van Ngu was a Confucian scholar who specialized in the Yijing. Although he came from a learned family, Le failed to acquire any titles from the civil service examinations. At the age of twenty-seven, he decided to “close the door” to study Confucian classics. Le was not a hermit and did not give up hopes for career development. However, he only served the government for three months as an attaché of a delegation to France in 1900. His cultural life was more active than this political life. Le maintained correspondence with Chinese literati of the Guangxuehui (Extensive Learning Society) in Shanghai and with Vietnamese officials to seek for recognition for his Confucian scholarship. Le was a prolific writer who wrote commentaries on the Yijing, Daxue (Great Learning), Lunyu (Analects), and Zhongyong (Doctrine of the Mean) as well as a book on Chinese medicine. Basically his writings were all influenced by his Yijing scholarship. He held that “Not only medicine and Confucianism, but also all things and all changes are subject to the principles in the Yijing.” His writings were never printed, but he made many handwritten copies and sent them to officials and scholars in Vietnam.

Le specialized in the Yijing and had studied it for more than thirty years before he wrote his own commentary, Chu dich cuu nguyen, at the age of fifty-seven. Written in classical Chinese, the Chu dich cuu nguyen consists of 17 short articles on different aspects of the Yijing and Le’s own notes on the 64 hexagrams. These 17 short articles are original pieces through which we can understand Le’s personal views of the Yijing. In contrast, his commentary on the 64 hexagrams is more safe than stimulating. Hence, this research uses the 17 short articles as main references for textual analysis. Le rated the Chu dich cuu nguyen highly for its scholarly and practical value and even wrote to ask the colonial government to publish it but in vain.

Locating Le Van Ngu within Vietnamese Confucian scholarship in the late Nguyen period and Yijing scholarship in Vietnam is no easy task. In general, Vietnamese scholars were very faithful to the Zhu Xi school in their reading of Confucian classics. The Zhu Xi school, as a semi-official ideology, had a tremendous impact on Vietnamese statecraft, ethics, education and scholarship.
The late Nguyen was an exciting era in Vietnamese intellectual history. With the declining authority and prestige of the Nguyen court and the influx of Western learning, Vietnamese scholars began to question the sacredness and universality of the Zhu Xi school. Le Van Ngu was one such scholar who dared to develop his own ideas in the reading of Chinese classics and attempted to find a respectable place for Confucianism in the changing political and cultural order of the early twentieth-century Vietnam.

In the eyes of Zhu Xi scholars, Le Van Ngu was a heretic. Le fully understood his unorthodox position, calling himself a “crackpot” (cuong si) in his own writings and even letters to Vietnamese officials. Although he came from a family of the Zhu Xi tradition and rated Zhu Xi highly, his explanations of the Yijing were not based on Zhu Xi’s commentaries. In his commentary on the 64 hexagrams, he did not cite any Chinese commentaries at all. Le was critical of Chinese scholarship on the Yijing of all ages, believing that he was the only one, after the four ancient Chinese sages (Fuxi, Duke of Zhou, King Wen and Confucius), who truly comprehended the text. In the preface to the Chu dich cuu nguyen, he wrote:

>>Born thousands of years [after the sages] and having witnessed the decline of Yijing scholarship and the rise of heretical views, I have been engrossed in the study of the Yijing. I have discovered ideas undiscovered by former Confucians and elaborated ideas not yet fully elaborated.\(^27\)\n
Le’s own approach to the Yijing was eclectic, attempting to combine the three major elements or approaches in the Yijing—numbers and symbols, text and philosophy, and divination—together in his own explanations. He believed that numbers and symbols made by the Chinese sages based on their understanding of natural principles constitute the most fundamental object of Yijing studies.\(^28\) He said:

The Way of the Yijing is great. Originally derived from
numbers and formulated by the four sages, the *Yijing* became the fundamental classic to teach people the mind [of Nature].

Hence, Le started his commentary by discussing a number of *Yijing* charts and diagrams. He accepted the tradition that Fuxi created the eight trigrams based on two ancient charts: *Hetu* (Yellow River Diagram) and *Luoshu* (Writings from the River Luo). He stressed that all natural principles, such as *yinyang wuxing* and *wuyun liuqi* (five agents and six climatic factors), could be found in these two charts. He used the principles found in the *Yijing* to explain politics, morality, medicine, astronomy, physics, geography, mathematics, and divination as well as other Confucian classics.

Le criticized Chinese commentaries on the *Yijing* of all ages written from the Eastern Zhou (771-221 B.C.E) to the Qing (1644-1911). Like many Qing Chinese or Tokugawa Japanese (1603-1868) scholars, Le pointed out that not all of the *Shiyi* (Ten Wings), the ten oldest commentaries on the *Yijing* written mostly in the Zhou period, were the works of Confucius. He held:

> Scholars of the past have already suggested that the *Xugua* (Introductory Notes on the Hexagrams) was not the work of the sages. Now I want to emphasis that the *Xici* (Commentary on the Appended Judgments) and *Shuogua* (Commentary on the Trigrams) as well were not the writings of the sages. Why do I say so? This is because there are too many useless and unreasonable ideas in the *Xici* and *Shuogua*.

Le reminded readers that above-mentioned three commentaries in the *Shiyi* could be abandoned or should be read and used critically. Although similar ideas had been suggested by Chinese and Japanese scholars before him and his view was not very original in this regard, Le’s spirit of doubt was intellectually significant. Of the *Shiyi*, Le liked the *Wenyen* (Commentary on the Words of the Text), praising it as the best reference to the meanings of words used in the *Yijing*. He believed that the *Tuanzhuan* (Commentary on the Decision) and
Daxiang (Commentary on the Great Images) were the works of Confucius. He cited these three ancient commentaries frequently in his own commentary.

Le completely denied the value of the Han and Tang commentaries because “after the Qin and Han, Confucian scholars discussed the Yijing loosely and few could understand its true meanings.” Le criticized them for focusing solely on the text and thus separating the text and the charts. He said:

By observing the lines and images, Confucius wrote his commentary [on the Yijing] that enlightened later generations…. However, some people followed the old-fashioned interpretations of Zheng Xuan (127-200) and Wang Bi (226-249)…. they treated the lines and images as merely lines and images, and the text as just the text.

Le did not like the old commentaries in general, but he admitted that Wang Bi’s Zhouyizhu (A Commentary on the Yijing) contained insightful views based on sound textual analysis.

Compared with Confucian scholarship of other ages, Song learning received more recognition from Le. Le himself came from a family of scholars of Song learning, and he regarded Song learning as the “orthodox learning (chinh hoc).” In particular, he believed that Zhu Xi and Cheng Yi had made important contributions to Yijing scholarship by elaborating the philosophical, political and moral implications of the images and text. He held that Cheng Yi’s Yizhuan (A Commentary on the Yijing) was excellent in textual analysis and that Zhu Xi’s commentaries were good at discussing the images and divination. However, he criticized Zhu Xi and Cheng Yi’s commentaries as incomplete and handicapped, as they differentiated the Duke of Zhou and Confucius’ commentaries on the Yijing and failed to combine the text with the images.

He held:

In the Song period, two great masters, Cheng Yi and Zhu Xi,
wrote the *Yizhuan* and *Zhouyi benyi* (The Original Meaning of the *Yijing*) respectively. Their ideas were comprehensive, but they only saw the origins of the *Yijing* and the numbers in the *Yijing* diagrams and charts as something natural and thus failed to explain their principles of creation. This shortcoming corrupted the text of mind [i.e., the *Yijing*] into an oral transmission of empty words. As a result, the *Yijing* was not understood and its teaching was neglected. What a shame!⁴³

Le also disliked Shao Yong’s (1011-1077) ideas about divination and metaphysics of the *Yijing*, finding them too complicated and abstract.⁴⁰ He looked down upon the entire body of scholarship on the *Yijing* after the Song and never used any post-Song commentaries as references.

Le’s critical overview of *Yijing* scholarship in China shows some significant characteristics in Nguyen Confucianism. First, many Nguyen scholars were confident of their contribution to Confucian scholarship. Though respecting Chinese sages, some Nguyen scholars did not rate Chinese scholarship highly. Like Tokugawa Japan, some Vietnamese scholars saw Vietnam as the “Middle Kingdom” and the center of Confucian studies. Second, though not a state ideology, Song learning was very influential in the Nguyen Confucian circle. Most Nguyen scholars accepted Zhu Xi and Cheng Yi’s commentaries with some modifications.

**Le Van Ngu’s Response to Western Learning**

Western learning and modernization were very powerful political and cultural forces in the early twentieth century Vietnam. Le was not immune to Western influence. His three-month sojourn to France in 1900 was an eye-opener through which he had first-hand experience of the Western world. Through his Shanghai academic connection, he became acquainted with Chinese reformist writings and Chinese translations of Western works. In Vietnam, he also read some Vietnamese translations of Western classics. Western learning had an impact on his Confucian scholarship.
Besides his criticism of Chinese scholarship on the *Yijing*, Le’s comments on Western ideas, such as liberty and freedom, constitutional monarchy, Western astronomy and physics, and Christianity, in his commentary on the *Yijing* are interesting and significant. Unfortunately, all remarks made by Le about Western things are piecemeal, loosely organized and at times self-contradictory. Obviously, he did not establish a systematic and coherent perception of Western learning. This poses a great challenge for textual study. In general, Le was critical of Western ideas, although he did not completely deny their value. He blamed the influx of Western learning for the decline of *Yijing* studies:

The Westerners came to the Eastern world by sea and brought us strange skills and playful things. Confused by and absorbed in Western learning, our people pursued fame and profit. The *Yijing* became a bunch of wastepaper.\(^{41}\)

According to Le, Western civilization could only stimulate more human desire and consequently it would bring more problems and sufferings to human beings than answers and benefits. He said:

If people do not follow the great moral principle of benevolence and not to control their desire to conquer, then more sophisticated mining will produce more natural resources. More natural resources cause more human desires. Human beings will become more difficult to live and then they go to invade others.\(^{42}\)

Le applied the ideas of *taiji* (the supreme ultimate) and *yinyang wuxing* in *Yijing* charts to explain the origins of the universe and criticized the Western or Christian theory of creation.\(^{43}\) He said that if people read the *Yijing*, “they should know that the wonder of the *Yijing* was ten thousand times more amazing than Western principles of cannon, ship, car or electricity.”\(^{44}\) He also held that the Chinese theory of the five agents (*wuxing*) was better than the
Western theory of the four elements in explaining the principles of electricity, physics and geography. He said:

Some asked, “I have heard that physical principles of electricity and chemistry are not as good as our metaphysics. Can you elaborate?” I replied, “Western experts in electricity and chemistry use materials on earth to create physical knowledge and skills. Our application of the theory of the interaction of chi (ether or vital force) in water, fire, wood and earth is more wonderful. The idea about the interaction between metal and fire is most profound.”

The Western theory of the four elements refers to the Aristotelian theory of physics which views earth, water, fire and air as the four basic terrestrial elements. Le’s comparison was similar to that of the Tokugawa scholar Sawano Chūan (1580-1652) and the late Chosŏn scholar Chŏng Tasan (1762-1836). Le found the interaction of the agents of metal and fire in the wuxing the fundamental principle in the universe. He explained:

Electricity, chemistry and engineering are subject to the principle of the interaction of metal and fire.... If you want to understand the principle of the interaction of metal and fire, you should use yinyang and Yijing charts as references. The application of the principle of the interaction of metal and fire are best illustrated in electricity and chemistry. Thus, ancient people praised the Yijing as the book to reveal the secret of creation.

Le did not accept Christian ideas about heaven and hell as well as creation, stressing that the yinyang wuxing principle found in the Yijing was a better way to explain the origins of things. Le sometimes attempted to apply the yinyang wuxing theory to explain Western knowledge in astronomy, geography
and physics, making far-fetched speculations. For example, based on his understanding of the wuxing principle, Le wrongly speculated that there are five layers of heaven in the universe and that Jupiter, Mars and Saturn have no satellites.⁴⁹

Le also discussed Western political concepts, such as liberty, equality, constitution and parliament in his commentary on the Yijing. He associated liberty with people-oriented politics (minben) found in Chinese classics including the Yijing, accepting the parliamentary system as a form of political liberalization.⁵⁰ In his explanation of the hexagram bo (splitting apart), Le supported constitutional monarchy as follows:

The Shuogua commentary reads: “The mountain rests on the earth. This is the image of the hexagram bo.”…. Snow falls on the mountain to nourish and rejuvenate everything…. Having observed this image, the ruler should adopt constitutional politics to rule and pay attention to the needs of the people in order to strengthen the nation. Doing this would be like snowing on the mountain.⁵¹

In the trigrams, Yijing charts and other Chinese classics, Le found that many Western principles were in agreement with Confucianism. He said:

Nowadays, we are talking about ideas like membership, public opinion, equality and freedom. Whether they are right or wrong can be deduced [from the Yijing]. If scholars can elaborate [the Yijing], then the ideas of heavenly order, heaven’s hearing and heaven’s seeing can all be seen from the forty-five dots [in the Luoshu] and the Jiuchou (nine categories) [in the Shangshu (Book of Documents)]…. “Discuss with ministers” refers to the upper house, whereas “discuss with commoners” means the lower house and the prime minister.⁵²
However, Le condemned the idea of equality for turning society and morality upside-down.\textsuperscript{53} For example, he criticized the idea of equality as unnatural and immoral. He said:

Things in nature can be beautiful or ugly, small or big. Human beings can be wise or stupid, good or bad. From things to human beings, we can conclude that equality is out of the question.... If we do not rectify [this view], how can we avoid bad consequences? How can we stop the war? For those people who are competing in this world, if their hearts follow the way of the \textit{Yijing} and if they act according to the principles of the \textit{Yijing}, they will compete for what they should compete and will acquire for what they should acquire.\textsuperscript{54}

As for Christianity, Le held that some Christian ideas and ethics were at odds with Confucian ethics and the \textit{yinyang wuxing} principle. For instance, he criticized the ideas of heaven and hell as follows:

[Christianity suggest that] when the end of the world comes, human beings will disappear, but heaven and earth will stay forever with heaven and hell. Objectively speaking, the idea that heaven and earth can last forever without living things on it is not in agreement with the principle of metal and fire.\textsuperscript{55}

From the above-cited paragraphs, we have the impression that Le was fundamental a Confucian scholar and his understanding and acceptance of things Western was limited and incomplete. He tried to respond to changing conditions in Vietnam with his mastery of Chinese classics and limited Western knowledge. He represented a large group of frustrating Vietnamese intellectuals who were trained in traditional Confucian education but lived in a modern world.
Concluding Remarks

Fairly speaking, Le Van Ngu was not a prominent late Nguyen scholar and his *Chu dich cuu nguyen* did not break new ground in *Yijing* scholarship in Asia. The significance of the *Chu dich cuu nguyen* rests mainly with its spirit of doubt, openness and pragmatism rather than scholarship. Le questioned the Song learning, discussed Western ideas, and suggested ways to improve Vietnamese politics and scholarship.

Le, like many late Nguyen scholars, dared to criticize Zhu Xi and other Chinese Confucian masters of all ages. However, the bottom line was that he was careful not to attack the Chinese sages and their teachings, blaming only scholars of later ages for corrupting the original Confucian teachings.\(^56\)

Gained through the reading of Chinese translations and writings as well as own observations during his three-month sojourn in Paris (May-July 1900), Le’s understanding of things Western was superficial and incomplete. His attitude toward modernization was ambiguous. Unlike many late Ngugen Westerners who modified and reorganized Chinese and Vietnamese traditions into a Western framework, Le took the reverse action by selectively incorporating some Western elements into the Chinese Confucian framework. He flatly rejected the idea of equality that he thought went against the fundamental principle of the Confucian social order, but endorsed Western political institutions such as constitutions and parliaments. Sometimes, he distorted or misunderstood Western ideas. His discussions of liberty, Christianity, and the solar system are such examples. He also attempted to compare and combine some Chinese and Western ideas such as the *wuxing* theory and the four-element theory as well as the concept of people-oriented politics and liberty.

To conclude, Le was neither a reformer nor a Westernizer in the strict sense. He was not a faithful Zhu Xi scholar either. He lived in a time, as Neil Jamieson puts it, “the nation became obsessed with the problem of determining the appropriate response to the challenge of an aggressive Western civilization.”\(^57\) In this respect, Le was not a unique or exceptional figure, but represented a member of a lost generation. He was just a Confucian scholar.
who was caught in a dilemma as to whether to hold firmly to tradition or adopt modernity. In order to accommodate Confucian learning in the modern world, Le sometimes redefined, discarded or even distorted Confucian ideas in the *Yijing* and other Chinese classics. This was indeed a common cultural response of Asian Confucians to Western learning in times of crises. *Yijing* scholars in China, Japan and Korean, in the early twentieth century, all showed a similar direction in *Yijing* studies.\(^{58}\)
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**GLOSSARY**

*bo* 剝

Buu Gian 寶鑒

*chinh hoc* 正學

Chŏng Tasan 丁茶山

*Chu dich cuu nguyen* 周易究原

*Chu dich quoc an ca* 周易國音歌

*Chu dich van giai toat yeu* 周易問解撮要

*cuong si* 狂士

Dang Thai Bang 鄧泰滂

*Dich hoc chu giai* 易學注解

*Dich kinh* 易經

*Dich kinh phu thuyet* 易經膚説

*Dich ti giai thuyet* 易系解說

*doc dich* 讀易

*dong du* 東游

*fengshui* 風水
Guangxuehui  廣學會
Hetu  河圖
kaozheng 考證
Jiuchou 九疇
Le dynasty  黎朝
Le Quy Don  黎貴惇
Le Van Ngu  黎文敔
Luoshu  洛書
Ly dynasty  李朝
minben  民本
Nhu Ba Si  汝伯仕
Nguyen Binh Khiem  阮秉謙
Nguyen dynasty  阮朝
Nguyen Kyuyen  阮勸
nom  喃
Pham Quy Thich  笕貴適
Phan Boi Chau  潘佩珠
Phan Chu Trinh  潘周楨
Phu tra tien thuyet  附槎小説
Sawano Chūan  沢野忠庵
Shiyi  十翼
Shuogua  說卦
taiji  太極
Tan hoc  新學
Tran dynasty  陳朝
Tuanzhuan  象傳
Wenyen  文言
wuyun liuqi  五運六氣
Xici  繫辭
Xugua  序卦
Yizhuang  易傳
Zhouyi benyi  周易本義
Zhouyizhu  周易注